Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,736	WOLLENBERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Marcus Simon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time: <u>am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		·
Claims discussed: 1-3,6,19-21,24, 30-46		
Prior art documents discussed: Kummerlin et al-138		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	·	
·		
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend the above-mentioned claims to more clearly recite the connections between the support modules/support members and the coupling members of the main support, specifically, the first and second positions that are aligned with and orthogonal to, respectively, to the main support. These recitations structurally define the instant invention over the Kummerlin reference.